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Abstract
A numerical radius inequality due to Shebrawi and Albadawi says that: If $A_i, B_i, X_i$ are bounded operators in Hilbert space, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, and $f, g$ be nonnegative continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the relation

$$f(t)g(t) = t \quad (t \in [0, \infty]),$$

then

$$w\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i^* X_i B_i\right) \leq \frac{n^{r-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ A_i^* g\left(|X_i|^r\right) A_i \right] + \left[ B_i^* f\left(|X_i|^r\right) B_i \right]$$

for all $r \geq 1$. We give sharper numerical radius inequality which states that: If $A_i, B_i, X_i$ are bounded operators in Hilbert space, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, and $f, g$ be nonnegative continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the relation

$$f(t)g(t) = t \quad (t \in [0, \infty]),$$

then

$$w\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i^* X_i B_i\right) \leq \frac{n^{r-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ A_i^* g\left(|X_i|^r\right) A_i \right] + \left[ B_i^* f\left(|X_i|^r\right) B_i \right] - \alpha$$

where

$$\alpha = \sup_{H^1} \frac{n^{r-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \left\langle A_i^* g^2\left(|X_i|^r\right) A_i, x, x \right\rangle \right)^{1/2} - \left\langle B_i^* f^2\left(|X_i|^r\right) B_i, x, x \right\rangle^{1/2}.$$ 

Moreover, we give many numerical radius inequalities which are sharper than related inequalities proved recently, and several applications are given.
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1. Fundamental Principles
Let $B(H)$ denote the $C^*$-algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space $H$. In the case when $\dim H = n$, we identify $B(H)$ with the matrix algebra $M_n$ of all $n \times n$ matrices with entries in the complex field. The numeri-
radius of $T \in B(H)$ is defined by

$$w(T) = \sup \{ \|Tx,x\| : x \in H, \|x\| = 1 \}. \quad (1)$$

It is well-known that $w(.)$ defines a norm on $B(H)$, which is equivalent to the usual operator norm. Namely, for $T \in B(H)$, we have

$$\frac{\|T\|}{2} \leq w(T) \leq \|T\| \quad (2)$$

These inequalities are sharp. The first inequality becomes an equality if $T^2 = 0$, and the second inequality becomes an equality if $T$ is normal (see [1]).

An important inequality for $w(T)$ is the power inequality stating that $w(X^n) \leq (w(X))^n$ for $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ see ([2]: p. 118).

An important property of the numerical radius norm is its weak unitary invariance, that is, for $X \in B(H)$,

$$w(U^*XU) = w(X) \quad (3)$$

for every unitary $U \in B(H)$. For further information about the properties of numerical radius inequalities we refer the reader to [2]-[7] and references therein.

Let $H_1, H_2$ be Hilbert spaces, and consider the direct sum $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$. By considering this decomposition, every operator $T \in B(H)$ has a $2 \times 2$ operator matrix representation $T = [T_{ij}]$ with entries $T_{ij} \in B(H_1 \oplus H_2)$.

2. Introduction

Hirzallah, Kittaneh and Shebrawi have proved in [8] that:

If $X \in B(H)$, then:

$$\frac{\|X\|}{2} + \frac{\|\text{Re} X\|}{2} - \frac{\|\text{Im} X\|}{2} \leq w(X) \quad (4)$$

also, they proved that:

If $X \in B(H)$, then:

$$\frac{\|X\|}{2} + \frac{\|\text{Re} X\|}{4} - \frac{\|\text{Im} X\|}{4} \leq w(X) \quad (5)$$

Moreover, they showed that:

if $X, Y \in B(H)$, then:

$$w\left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & X \\ Y & 0 \end{array} \right] \leq w(X + Y) + w(X - Y) \quad (6)$$

Shebrawi and Albadawi have proved in [9] that:

If $A_i, B_i, X_i \in B(H), (i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$ and $f, g$ be nonnegative continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the relation $f(t)g(t) = t$ $(t \in [0, \infty))$, then:

$$w\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_iX_iB_i \right) \leq \frac{n}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ A_i^*g^2\left(\|X_i\|\right)A_i + B_i^*f^2\left(\|X_i\|\right)B_i \right] \quad (7)$$
for all $r \geq 1$.

In the special case, where $f(t) = t^k$ and $g(t) = t^{1-k}$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$, they proved that:

$$w^r \left( \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^r X_i B_i \right) \leq \frac{n^{r-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ A_i^r \left[ X_i^r \right]^{2(1-k)} A_i + B_i^r \left[ X_i^r \right]^{2k} B_i \right]^r$$

(8)

In particular, they proved the following inequalities:

1) $$w^r \left( \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^r X_i B_i \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( A_i^r \left[ X_i \right] + B_i^r \left[ X_i \right] \right)$$

(9)

2) $$w^r \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) \leq \frac{n^{r-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( X_i^r \right)^{2(1-k)} + \left( X_i^r \right)^{2k}$$

(10)

3) $$w^r \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) \leq \frac{n^{r-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \left| X_i \right|^r + \left| X_i \right| \right)$$

(11)

4) $$w^r \left( X \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \left| X \right| + \left| X^r \right| \right)$$

(12)

The main purpose of this paper is to give considerable improvements of the inequalities (7), (8), (9), (10), (11), and (12). In order to achieve our goal, we need the following three lemmas which are essential in our analysis.

The first lemma was proved in [10].

**Lemma 1** If $a, b \geq 0$ and $0 \leq v \leq 1$, then:

$$a^v b^{1-v} + k \left( \sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b} \right)^2 \leq va + (1-v)b$$

(13)

where $k = \min \{v, 1-v\}$.

If $v = \frac{1}{2}$, the inequality (13) becomes an equality where

$$\sqrt{ab} = \frac{a + b}{2} - \frac{\left( \sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b} \right)^2}{2}$$

(14)

The second lemma follows from the spectral theorem for positive operators and Jensen’s inequality (see [11]).

**Lemma 2** Let $T \in B(H)$, $T \geq 0$ and $x \in H$ such that $\|x\| \leq 1$. Then:

1) $\langle Tx, x \rangle^r \leq \langle T^r x, x \rangle$ for $r \geq 1$.

2) $\langle T^r x, x \rangle \leq \langle Tx, x \rangle^r$ for $0 < r \leq 1$.

The third lemma was proved in [11].

**Lemma 3** Let $T \in B(H)$ and $x, y \in H$ be any vectors. If $f, g$ are non-negative continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ which are satisfying the relation $f(t) g(t) = t$ ($t \in [0, \infty)$), then:
\[ \|Tx, y\|^2 \leq \langle |T| x, x \rangle \langle |T| y, y \rangle \]  

(15) and more general, 

\[ \|Tx, y\|^2 \leq \langle f^2 (|T|) x, x \rangle \langle g^2 (|T|) y, y \rangle \]  

(16) 

3. Main Results

The first result in this paper is numerical radius inequality which is sharper than the inequality (7).

**Theorem 3.1** Let \( A_i, B_i, X_i \in B(H) \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), and \( f, g \) be nonnegative continuous functions on \([0, \infty)\) satisfying the relation \( f(t) g(t) = t \) \((t \in [0, \infty))\). Then:

\[
w^f \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i^* X_i B_i \right) \leq \frac{n^2}{2} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ A_i^* g^2 \left( |X_i^*| \right) A_i \right] + \left[ B_i^* f^2 \left( |X_i| \right) B_i \right] \right\| - \alpha \tag{17}
\]

where

\[
\alpha = \sup_{\|x\| = 1} \frac{n-1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \left\| A_i^* g^2 \left( |X_i^*| \right) A_i \right\|_{(x, x)}^{1/2} - \left\| B_i^* f^2 \left( |X_i| \right) B_i \right\|_{(x, x)}^{1/2} \right)^2 \tag{18}
\]

**Proof.**

\[
\left\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( A_i^* X_i B_i \right) \right) x, x \right\|
\]

\[
= \left\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( A_i^* X_i B_i \right) x, x \right) \right\|
\]

\[
\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| \left( A_i^* X_i B_i \right) x, x \right\| \right)^{1/2}
\]

\[
= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| \left( X_i B_i, A_i \right) \right\| \right)^{1/2}
\]

\[
\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| f^2 \left( |X_i| \right) B_i, x, x \right\|^{1/2} \langle g^2 \left( |X_i^*| \right) A_i x, x \right\|^{1/2} \right)^{1/2}
\]

\[
\leq \frac{n^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \left\| B_i^* f^2 \left( |X_i| \right) B_i \right\|_{x, x}^{1/2} + \left\| A_i^* g^2 \left( |X_i^*| \right) A_i \right\|_{x, x}^{1/2} \right) - \frac{n^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \left\| B_i^* f^2 \left( |X_i| \right) B_i \right\|_{x, x}^{1/2} \right]^2
\]

Taking the supremum over all unit vectors \( x \in H \), we get

\[
w^f \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i^* X_i B_i \right) \leq \frac{n^2}{2} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ A_i^* g^2 \left( |X_i^*| \right) A_i \right] + \left[ B_i^* f^2 \left( |X_i| \right) B_i \right] \right\|
\]
Remark 1 In view of the inequalities (7) and (17), it clears that the inequality (17) is sharper than the inequality (7).

As special case of the inequality (17), let \( f(t)=t^\kappa \) and \( g(t)=t^{1-\kappa} \), \( \kappa \in (0,1) \), we will get the following inequality which is sharper than the inequality (8).

*Corollary 4* Let \( A_i, B_i, X_i \in B(H) \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), \( r \geq 1 \), and \( 0 < \kappa < 1 \). Then:

\[
ω\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i^* X_i B_i\right) \leq \frac{n^{-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{r} \left( A_i^* X_i^{2(1-\kappa)} A_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left( B_i^* X_i^{\kappa} B_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2 - \beta
\]

where

\[
\beta = \sup_{H^1} \frac{n^{-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{r} \left( A_i^* X_i^{2(1-\kappa)} A_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left( B_i^* X_i^{\kappa} B_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2
\]

In particular, if \( r = 1 \), \( \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \) we get the following inequality which is sharper than the inequality (9),

\[
ω\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i^* X_i B_i\right) \leq \frac{n^{-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{r} \left( A_i^* X_i^{2(1-\kappa)} A_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left( B_i^* X_i^{\kappa} B_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2 - \gamma
\]

where

\[
\gamma = \sup_{H^1} \frac{n^{-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{r} \left( A_i^* X_i^{2(1-\kappa)} A_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left( B_i^* X_i^{\kappa} B_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2
\]

By letting \( A_i = B_i = 0 \) in the inequality (19), we obtain the following inequality which is sharper than the inequality (10).

*Corollary 5* Let \( X_i \in B(H) \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), \( r \geq 1 \), and \( 0 < \kappa < 1 \). Then:

\[
ω\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i\right) \leq \frac{n^{-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{r} \left( |X_i|^{2(1-\kappa)} + |X_i|^{\kappa}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \eta \right\|^2
\]

where

\[
\eta = \sup_{H^1} \frac{n^{-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{r} \left( |X_i|^{2(1-\kappa)} + |X_i|^{\kappa}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2
\]

Letting \( \kappa = \frac{1}{2} \) in the inequality (23), we obtain the following inequality which is sharper than the inequality (11).

*Corollary 6* Let \( X_i \in B(H) \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), and \( r \geq 1 \). Then:

\[
ω\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i\right) \leq \frac{n^{-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{r} \left( |X_i|^{2(1-\kappa)} + |X_i|^{\kappa}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2 - \zeta
\]
where
\[
\zeta = \sup_{\|X\|} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \left\| X_i^* x, x \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left\| X_i x, x \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 \tag{25}
\]

In the inequality (24), replacing \( X_2, X_3, \ldots, X_n \) by 0, we have the following inequality which is sharper than the inequality (12).

**Corollary 7** Let \( X \in B(H), r \geq 1 \). Then:
\[
w' (X) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \left\| X^* x, x \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left\| X x, x \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 \tag{26}
\]

where
\[
\bar{\xi} = \sup_{\|X\|} \frac{1}{2} \left( \left\| X^* x, x \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left\| X x, x \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2
\]

Now, we will prove the following inequality which is another version of the inequality (6).

**Theorem 3.2** Let \( A, B \in B(H) \). Then:
\[
w\left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \leq \frac{\|A\| + \|B\|}{2} \tag{27}
\]

**Proof.** Let \( U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} I & -I \\ I & I \end{bmatrix} \), then \( U \) is unitary, and
\[
w\left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = w\left( U^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} U \right) \quad \text{(by Equation (3))}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} w\left( \begin{bmatrix} -B - A & B + A \\ B - A & B + A \end{bmatrix} \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} w\left( \begin{bmatrix} -A & A \\ -A & A \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -B & -B \\ B & B \end{bmatrix} \right)
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{2} \left( w\left( \begin{bmatrix} -A & A \\ -A & A \end{bmatrix} \right) + w\left( \begin{bmatrix} -B & -B \\ B & B \end{bmatrix} \right) \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{\|A\| + \|B\|}{2}
\]

since \( \begin{bmatrix} -A & A \\ -A & A \end{bmatrix}^2 = 0 \), so
\[
\begin{bmatrix} -A & A \\ -A & A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -A & A \\ -A & A \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\|A\|}{2},
\]

and \( \begin{bmatrix} -B & -B \\ B & B \end{bmatrix}^2 = 0 \), so
\[
w\left( \begin{bmatrix} -B & -B \\ B & B \end{bmatrix} \right) = \frac{\|B\|}{2}.
\]

Chaining the inequality (27) with the inequality (4) yields the following inequality.

**Corollary 8** Let \( A, B \in B(H) \). Then:
\[
w\left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \leq w(A) + w(B) - \frac{\|\text{Re} \, A\| - \|\text{Im} \, A\|}{2} - \frac{\|\text{Re} \, B\| - \|\text{Im} \, B\|}{2} \tag{28}
\]
**Proof.** In Theorem 3.2, apply the inequality (4) on the right side, we get the result.

Chaining the inequality (27) with the inequality (5) yields the following inequality.

**Corollary 9** Let \( A, B \in B(H) \). Then:

\[
w\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \leq w(A) + w(B) - \frac{\|\text{Re} A\| - \frac{1}{2} \|A\|}{4} - \frac{\|\text{Im} A\| - \frac{1}{2} \|A\|}{4} - \frac{\|\text{Re} B\| - \frac{1}{2} \|B\|}{4} - \frac{\|\text{Im} B\| - \frac{1}{2} \|B\|}{4}
\]

**Proof.** In Theorem 3.2, apply the inequality (5) on the right side, we get the result.
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